## INSPECTOR'S STATEMENT- Event Violation Minerals Regulatory Program

Violation # MC-2010-17-09 Company/Mine: TM Crushing Permit #: S/040/0081

A.	SERIOUSNESS			
1.	What type of event is applicable to the regulation cited? Refer to the DOGM reference list of event below and remember that <b>the event is NOT the same as the violation</b> . Mark and explain each event.			
	a. Activity outside the approved permit area.  b. Injury to the public (public safety).  c. Damage to property.  X d. Conducting activities without appropriate approvals.  e. Environmental harm.  f. Water pollution.  g. Loss of reclamation/revegetation potential.  h. Reduced establishment, diverse and effective vegetative cover.  i. Other.			
	nation: <u>During an inspection on October 20, 2010, it was observed that mining activity was being eted.</u> Operator had not filed a NOI for this operation with the <u>Division</u>			
2.	2. Has the event or damage occurred? <u>yes</u> If yes, describe it. If no, what would cause it to occur and what is the probability of the event(s) occurring? (None, Unlikely, Likely).			
Explan	ation: Active mining operations were observed at the Talon's Cove Quarry on October 20, 2010.			
3.	Did any damage occur as a result of the violation? <u>NO</u> If yes, describe the duration and extent of the damage or impact. How much damage may have occurred if the violation had not been discovered by a DOGM inspector? Describe this potential damage and whether or not it would extend off the disturbed and/or permit area.			
sand/groperati	nation: While normally the answer to this would be yes, disturbances at this operation began as a ravel operation (which would be exempt from DOGM requirements. No new damage resulted ias the on began to remove bedded hard rock materials. (which requires DOGM permitting). The operation en permitted by Eagle Mountain City (local govt. authority).			
B.	<b><u>DEGREE OF FAULT</u></b> (Check the statements which apply to the violation and discuss).			
_	Was the violation not the fault of the operator (due to vandalism or an act of God), explain. Remember that the permittee is considered responsible for the actions of all persons working on the mine site.			
Expla	nation:			
<u>X</u>	Was the violation the result of not knowing about DOGM regulations, indifference to DOGM regulations or the result of lack of reasonable care.			
Expla Mounta	nation: Operator had permitted this operation with Eagle Mountain City and had been told by Eagle ain that they did not need to permit with the State. While the operator was aware of DOGM (has a			

	nit in Salt Lake County), which would show some neglect in not contacting the Divisions of the Division of the	on, it is also
_	If the actual or potential environmental harm or harm to the public should have be careful operator, describe the situation and what, if anything, the operator did to c being cited.	een evident to a orrect it prior to
Expla	planation:	
 Expla	_ Was the operator in violation of a specific permit condition?	
_	Has DOGM cited the violation in the past? If so, give the dates and the type of w enforcement action taken.	arning or
Expla	planation:	
	Was any economic benefit gained by the operator for failure to comply? <u>Unlikely</u> blanation: <u>This operation was permitted and bonded by Eagle Mountain</u> . The only permit does the annual permit fee of \$150.	
GOOL	OD FAITH	
1.	1. In order to receive good faith for compliance with an NOV or CO, the violation in abated before the abatement deadline. If you think this applies, describe how raps achieved (give date) and describe the measures the operator took to comply as rap	d compliance wa
Divisio	Explanation: Operator immediately requested a 'stop work' conference schedule arsday), which upheld the fact of the violation. On Monday, Oct. 25, 2010, the operate sion with a Notice and an acceptable reclamation surety. The Cessation Order was teacher 25, 2010 (note, this is the very next working day from when the stop work conference on the stop work conference in the conference of th	or provided the rminated effective
2.	2. Explain whether or not the operator had the necessary resources on site to achieve	e compliance.
	Explanation:	
3.	3. Was the submission of plans prior to physical activity required by this NOV/CO? explain.	Yes If yes,
<u>Operat</u>	Explanation: Operator needed to prepare and submit a Notice of Intention to Corations.	mmence Mining
	1-18-1	
	Inn Kunzler January 25, 201  Signature Date	<u>1</u>

 $O: \label{lem:compliance} O: \label{lem:co$ 

# WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING Minerals Regulatory Program

TM Crushing	PERMIT S/049/081				
CO # MC-2010-17-09 VIOLATION 1 of 1					
ASSESSMENT DATE January 26, 2010					
ASSESSMENT OFFICER Thomas Munson					
. <u>HISTORY</u> (Max. 25 pts.) (R647–7-103.2.11)					
No previous violations.					
TO	OTAL HISTORY POINTS 0				
<b>SERIOUSNESS</b> (Max 45pts) (R647–7-103.2.12)					
For assignment of points in Parts II and I	III, the following apply:				
Based on facts supplied by the inspector, determine within each category where the					
Beginning at the mid-point of the categoradjust the points up or down, utilizing the statements as guiding documents.	19. <sup>7</sup> 스타인 - I (19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19.				
Is this an EVENT (A) or Administrative (B) violation?A (assign points according to A or B)					
	January 26, 2010  CER Thomas Munson  Tax. 25 pts.) (R647–7-103.2.11)  TO  S (Max 45pts) (R647–7-103.2.12)  For assignment of points in Parts II and II  Based on facts supplied by the inspector determine within each category where the Beginning at the mid-point of the category is the points up or down, utilizing the statements as guiding documents.  The EVENT (A) or Administrative (B) vio				

#### A. <u>EVENT VIOLATION</u> (Max 45 pts.)

- 1. What is the event which the violated standard was designed to prevent? The site was operating without a permit.
  - 2. What is the probability of the occurrence of the event which a violated standard was designed to prevent?

**PROBABILITY** 

**RANGE** 

None	0	
Unlikely	1-9	
Likely	10-19	
Occurred	20	

#### ASSIGN PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE POINTS

### PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage?

RANGE 0-25

In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment.

ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS \_\_\_\_

#### PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

\*\*\*

- B. ADMINISTRATIVE VIOLATIONS (Max 25pts)
  - 1. Is this a POTENTIAL or ACTUAL hindrance to enforcement?

RANGE 0-25

Assign points based on the extent to which enforcement is actually or potentially hindered by the violation.

ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS \_\_\_\_\_

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B)\_\_\_\_\_

#### III. <u>DEGREE OF FAULT</u> (Max 30 pts.) (R647-7-103.2.13)

A. Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care? IF SO--NO NEGLIGENCE; or, was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care, the failure to abate any violation due to the same or was economic gain realized by the permittee? IF SO--GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE.

No Negligence 0
Negligence 1-15
Greater Degree of Fault 16-30

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE

#### ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS \_\_\_\_

#### PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

#### IV. GOOD FAITH (Max 20 pts.) (R467-7-103.2.14)

(Either A or B) (Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures)

A. Did the operator have onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area?

IF SO--EASY ABATEMENT

Easy Abatement Situation

X Immediate Compliance -11 to -20\*
(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)

X Rapid Compliance -1 to -10 (Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

X Normal Compliance 0
(Operator complied within the abatement period required)
(Operator complied with condition and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

B. Did the permittee not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or does the situation require the submission of plans prior to physical activity to achieve compliance?

IF SO--DIFFICULT ABATEMENT

Difficult Abatement Situation

X Rapid Compliance -11 to -20\*
(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

X Normal Compliance -1 to -10\*

(Operator complied within the abatement period required)

X Extended Compliance 0
(Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay within the limits of the NOV or the violated standard of the plan submitted for abatement was incomplete)

<sup>\*</sup>Assign in upper of lower half of range depending on abatement occurring the 1st or 2nd half of abatement period.

(Permittee complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

EAS	SY OR D	OFFICULT ABATEMENT?	
		ASSI	GN GOOD FAITH POINTS
	AN EX	PLANATION OF POINTS:	
***			
V. ASS	SESSME	NT SUMMARY (R647-7-103.3)	
	NOT	ICE OF VIOLATION #	
	I.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	
	II.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	
	III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	
	IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	
		TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	
		TOTAL ASSESSED FINE	\$